







# ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONE IVED

2015 NOV 23 P 2: 42

DATE:

NOVEMBER 23, 2015AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKET NO.:

T-20925A-15-0113

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Yvette B. Kinsey. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

## WANRACK, LLC (FACILITIES- BASED CC&N)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

## **DECEMBER 2, 2015**

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

DECEMBER 8, 2015 and DECEMBER 9, 2015

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

NOV 23 2015

nocketeD by BILL

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.cc.state.az.us

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail SABernal@azcc.gov.

#### 1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman **BOB STUMP** BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE 5 TOM FORESE 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-20925A-15-0113 7 WANRACK, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE PRIVATE LINE TRANSPORT SERVICES IN DECISION NO. ARIZONA. 9 **OPINION AND ORDER** 10 DATE OF HEARING: October 8, 2015 11 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yvette B. Kinsey<sup>1</sup> 13 APPEARANCES: Mr. Timothy J. Sabo, SNELL & WILMER, on behalf of the WANRack, LLC; and 14 Mr. Brian Smith, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 15 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 16 BY THE COMMISSION: 17 On April 3, 2015, WANRack, LLC ("WANRack" or the "Company") filed with the Arizona 18 Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and 19 Necessity ("CC&N"), to provide private line telecommunication services and for a determination that 20 its proposed services are competitive in Arizona. 21 On April 7, 2015, WANRack filed its Certificate of Good Standing. 22 On April 24, 2015, two letters were docketed from the Yuma Elementary School and the 23 Yuma Union High School Districts in support of the Company's application. 24 On May 5, 2015, WANRack filed a revised proposed tariff for its requested services. 25

matter, recommending approval of WANRack's application, subject to certain conditions.

On August 20, 2015, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Staff Report in this

26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Administrative Law Judge Sasha Paternoster presided over the hearing.

On August 21, 2015, the Company filed a Request for Expedited Hearing and Unopposed Motion to Allow Telephonic Testimony.

On August 24, 2015, by Procedural Order, the hearing in the matter was set for October 8, 2015, other procedural deadlines were established, and the Company's request for an expedited hearing and request for its witness to appear telephonically were granted.

On October 8, 2015, a full public hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission. Staff and WANRack appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment on the application. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of late-filed exhibits.

On October 8, 2015, Staff filed a Notice of Filing Late-Filed Exhibit.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. WANRack is a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Kansas.<sup>2</sup>
- 2. WANRack is a privately held company and has its headquarters is located in Lenexa, Kansas.
- 3. WANRack is authorized to transact business in Arizona and is in good standing with the Commission's Corporations Division.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. WANRack's application requests authorization to provide private line transport services in Arizona.
  - 5. Notice of WANRack's application was given in accordance with the law.

2

6. Staff recommends approval of WANRack's application for a CC&N to provide private line transport services subject to the following conditions:

26

27

28

<sup>3</sup> Id. at Attachment A-1.

DECISION NO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit A-1.

3

### **Technical Capability**

- 8. The Company is currently offering telecommunications services similar to those requested in Arizona in both Missouri and Wyoming. The Company is also authorized to provide telecommunication services in the states of Kansas and Texas. As part of Staff's review of the application, Staff confirmed that WANRack is registered or certificated in each of the above referenced jurisdictions.
- 9. According to the Company's witness, WANRack provides private line services to school districts by connecting a dedicated fiber optic line between customer facilities.<sup>4</sup> The Company proposes to build, own and operate a private fiber optic network in Arizona to provide service to its customers. In the future, the Company states it may resell its services, obtained from carriers such as CenturyLink QC, to customers.
- 10. WANRack will handle customer services issues through its Network Operations Center, which provides 24/7 service to customers, via a toll free number. Through its Network Operations Center, WANRack can remotely provide troubleshooting services to its customers in Arizona.
- 11. The Company's three senior members have an average of over fourteen years' experience in the telecommunications industry.
- 12. Staff states it believes the Company has the technical experience to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

## Financial Capability

13. Staff states that the Company provided unaudited financial statements for the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2014. WANRack's financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2013, listed total assets of \$60,924; total equity of \$113,600; and a net income of negative \$52,676. For the year ending December 31, 2014, WANRack listed total assets of \$404,655; total equity of \$230,246; and a net income of negative \$70,678.

28 4 Tr. at 7.

. . .

#### **Rates and Charges**

. 14

- 14. Staff believes WANRack's rates will be heavily influenced by the market. Staff states that the Company will have to compete with other incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILEC") and competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") and interexchange carriers ("IXCs") to provide its proposed services in Arizona. Based on the competitive environment in which WANRack will be operating, Staff believes the Company will not be able to exert any market power and that the competitive process will result in just and reasonable rates.
- 15. Staff states that the Company estimated it will have a fair value rate base of \$1.4 million at the end of twelve months of operations. Staff states that although it considered the Company's fair value rate base information, it did not accord that information substantial weight in its analysis because Staff believes the Company's rates will ultimately be heavily influenced by the market.
- 16. WANRack has requested a determination that its proposed services are competitive in Arizona. Staff states that the Company's customers will be "sophisticated schools, government and business customers that typically negotiate contract rates through a competitive process with ultimate rates provided on an individual case basis." Staff also states that all other customers will receive services based on the rates established in the Company's proposed tariff.
- 17. Staff reviewed the proposed rates that WANRack proved for its services and Staff believes those rates are just and reasonable and are competitive in Arizona.
- 18. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service the Company proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 19. Because WANRack's proposed tariff states that the Company may offer rates based on an individual case basis ("ICB"), the Company is placed on notice that all ICB contracts shall comply with Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §40-334, as well as A.A.C. R14-2-1115. A.R.S. §40-334(A) states that public service corporations "shall not, as to rates, charges, service, facilities or

DECISION NO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Exhibit S-1 at 3.

3

2

prejudice or disadvantage.

## **Complaint Information**

4 5

state/jurisdiction.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

years.7

## Competitive Analysis

26.

27.

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit A-1. <sup>7</sup> *Id*.

DECISION NO.

6

in any respect, make or grant any preference or advantage to any person or subject any person to any

no consumer complaints, inquiries, or opinions had been filed against WANRack.

federal regulatory agency, commission, administrative or law enforcement agency.<sup>6</sup>

WANRack's proposed services should be classified as competitive in Arizona.

find that WANRack's proposed services are competitive in Arizona.

against WANRack with the Federal Communications Commission.

WANRack has not had an application for authority to provide service denied in any

The Commission's Consumer Services Division reported that through April 14, 2015,

Staff's review of the Company's application showed that no complaints had been filed

WANRack states that neither it nor its officers, directors, partners or managers have

WANRack also states that its officers, directors, partner, or managers have not been

WANRack's application requests that its proposed services be classified as

We find that Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. We also

been or are currently involved in any formal or informal complaint proceeding before any state or

involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil matter, or by

any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts with the last ten (10)

competitive in Arizona. Staff believes that WANRack's proposed services should be classified as

competitive because the Company will have to compete with IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs to gain a

share of the market in which it will be operating. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that

The Company is in good standing with the Commission's Corporations Division.

## 2

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18.

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. WANRack is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over WANRack and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. §40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for WANRack to provide private line telecommunications services as set forth in its application.
- 6. WANRack is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.
- 7. WANRack's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Commission's Rules for Competitive Telecommunicatios Services (A.A.C. R14-201101 et. seq.), it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for WANRack to establish rates and charges that are not less than WANRack's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
  - 9. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of WANRack, LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide private line transport services in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to Staff's recommendations as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 6 and 7.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if WANRack, LLC fails to comply with the Staff recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 7, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

1	granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.		
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that WANRack, LLC shall comply with A.R.S. §40-334 as well		
3	as A.A.C. R14-2-1115 with respect to its contracts provided on an individual case basis.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the services proposed WANRack, LLC's application are		
5	competitive in Arizona.		
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
7			
8			
9	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER		
10			
11	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
12			
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive		
14	Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the		
15	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, thisday of2015.		
16			
17	JODI JERICH		
18	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
19	DISSENT		
20			
21	DISSENT		
22	YBK:tv		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	8 DECISION NO		

	SERVICE LIST FOR:	WANRack, LLC
1 2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20925A-15-0113
3	Michael W. Patten Timothy J. Sabo	
4	SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center	
5	400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004	
6	Attorneys for WNRack, LLC	
7	Gerardo Garza WANRack, LLC	
8	7950 SW 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Miami, FL 33143	
9	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	
10	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
11	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
12	Thomas M. Broderick, Director	
13	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
14	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	
	ii	